



United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

		TATES U.	vvasning	non, D.C. 20231		\checkmark	
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.		
09/627,306	a7/27/00	PAPARATTÚ		, G	194938	SUSOX	
	\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\				EXAMINER		
022850 HM12/0925 ' OBLON SPIVAK MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT FOURTH FLOOR			Т	TRINH,	3		
				ART UNIT	P#	APER NUMBER	
1758 JEFFERSON DAVIS ARLINGTON VA 22202		[GHWAY	·	1625 DATE MAILED	: 09/25	(1) E	
				RD		25-01	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

REP 2 6 2001

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Office Action Summary

09/627, 306 PAPARATTO er al Group Art Unit Examiner TRINH

-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover	sheet beneath the correspondence address—		
Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIREOF THIS COMMUNICATION.	MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE		
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statuto. If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX (6) MONI. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application. 	ry minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication .		
Status	A Company		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	: <i>*</i>		
☐ This action is FINAL.	•		
 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O. 	s, prosecution as to the merits is closed in G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims	" expenses		
☑ Claim(s) / - 2 4	is/are pending in the application.		
Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration		
□ Claim(s)	is/ara allowed		
V Claim(a) 1 - 6 4	is/are rejected		
	is/are objected to.		
□ Claim(s)	are subject to restriction or election		
Application Papers	requirement.		
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	3.		
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ appro	ved 🗆 disapproved.		
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Exami	iner.		
 ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. 			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)			
Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11			
All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority document	9(a)-(d). nts have been		
received.			
 □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) □ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (F 	PCT Pule 1.7.2(a)\		
*Certified copies not received:			
attachment(s)	•		
1 Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). 7. 27- 0 0	☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413		
☑ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	□ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152		
□ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	Other		
Office Action Summary			
Patent and Trademark Office 326 (Rev. 9-97)			

Application/Control Number: 09/627,306 Page 2

Art Unit: 1625

Claims 1-24 are pending.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 11-309378 and Grey.

JP 11-309378 teaches an olefin epoxidation process using various amine as co-catalyst; note the Examples 1-12 of the English translation. Grey also teaches similar process using tertiary amine as co-catalyst; note that Abstract. The current process is analogous to the prior art processes since the reactants, reagents and catalyst are obvious variants or possessing species versus genus relationship. Thus, the current process would be deemed obvious over the teachings of the cited prior art.

No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Ba Trinh at telephone number (703) -308-4545.

Trinh/LR

September 11, 2001

BA K. TRINH PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1200 /625

BEST AVAILABLE COPY